



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,253	02/06/2004	Mitsushi Fujiki	042068	6491

38834 7590 03/06/2006

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP  
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW  
SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER
----------

PHAM, THANH V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2823

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

88

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/772,253	FUJIKI, MITSUSHI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thanh V. Pham	2823	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Thanh V. Pham. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Kenneth Salen. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 27 February 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 6 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Corvasce and Ohwaki.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discusses on the unexpected result at the temperature higher than room temperature and lower than 300 degrees Celsius. The examiner requests a clear explanation in writing according to support in instant specification so that the case would be considered. At the moment, the rejection is under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) wherein Corvasce is combined with Ohwaki and temperature optimization.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

WP  
02/27/2006

  
George Fourson  
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required